

Response ID ANON-V6GJ-GR2V-X

Submitted to **Social Work England: consultation on secondary legislative framework**
Submitted on **2018-02-22 18:20:51**

Introduction

1 What is your name?

Name:

Martin Newbold

2 What is your email address?

Email:

martinnewbold.mn@gmail.com

3 Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

Individual

4 If you are responding on behalf of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation?

Name of organisation:

Family's Need Fathers Hastings

5 Which of the following best describes the capacity in which you are responding to this consultation?

Choose answer from dropdown list:

Prefer not to say

If Other, please give details:

6 Would you like us to keep your responses confidential?

Yes

Reason for confidentiality:

personal reasons not disclosed

Regulatory functions - Registration

7 Do you agree that Social Work England should have the power to register social workers in England with conditions?

Yes

Text box for comments:

Social Works are currently out of control stealing children to order for a regime which costs the tax payers thousands of pounds in weekly payments to Social Workers setting up their homes to foster children through their shameless procedures. This is a well-known racket in Local Authorities payment to LAC children families are a huge budget drain and a currently policed by CPC from members of themselves which to all extensive purposes is themselves. Why is it a Social Worker with five LAC children can afford the mortgage on a huge farm?

There is no Regulatory body which complaints can be taken too and children in LAC are frequently abused by children who have in the system and been abused themselves either by the system or by an individual in the system. Social Services Departments wash their hands of children after court procedures even when they have stated on oath that they will facilitate contact which eventually cause disenfranchised from their fathers and extended. This has even gone so far that children are being placed with non- family members to who they are not related by blood the equality in Social Workers work is stuck in the wok systems of prison guards where their efforts were born from. Is it not time that Social Worked are made to put what is best for the children to work with their families to keep the children safe in the family unit. This regime is not just affecting fathers but whole of a family as seen on recent television programs aired on ITV There is no intention shown by this new organisation on how it intends to wipe out Maladministration in Social workers. It is quite clear to me that Social Workers Lie under oath on the stand and this is evidenced in my own cases. Social Services then promote the Social worker for reaching her target the current legal process Family Law is draconian and does not work in today's word. It would be better to join the existing worldwide networks and allow them to work in this country as the worldwide network has more teeth than what the current law and maladministration is being caused harming children in our country.

The level of social work in the country is appalling and disgraceful organization taking on the role of an adjudicator would have to be better than the current court system and able to work better with families unlike the current system that places them in a different room from the discussions excluding them from the process. For the reasons I have pointed out Social Workers cannot be on any account be a home placement for LAC children otherwise the result is that the children are stolen for the social workers and no work is done with the family. Social workers now write report s for other bodies like Action For Children so there is no independent regime. The whole service is disgraceful and should be ashamed of their real service standards.

8 Do you agree with the proposal to introduce proportionate English language controls as a registration requirement?

Yes

Text box for comments:

9 Do you agree that Social Work England should have the power to annotate additional qualifications, specialisms, and accreditations?

Yes

Text box for comments:

Qualifications should contains details of Social Workers that lie in court or in cross witness which should be a strike off offense not promotion and a removal from the ability to do social work currently social workers are promoted and the family court disregards social workers that lie

10 Do you agree that current fitness to practise sanctions should be annotated on the register?

Yes

Text box for comments:

Sanctions should be added ton register to stop social workers that lie in family court from getting work in this field currently they are promoted eg . Christine Sterling , East Sussex

11 Do you agree that Social Work England should have a rule-making power to determine the length of time that expired sanctions are annotated on the register?

No

Text box for comments:

Sanctions should remain indefinitely

Regulatory functions - Education and training

12 Do you agree that Social Work England should be able to determine the criteria for the approval of education and training courses and qualifications in regulatory rules?

No

Text box for comments:

The regulations for training are not sufficient and the whole manual which is used by social workers needs re-writing. It is no longer for reasonable for trainees' to place a paper bag over there head and go round touching each other to break ice

13 Do you agree that Social Work England should have the power to suspend education and training course approval?

Yes

Text box for comments:

14 Do you agree that Social Work England should have the power to attach conditions to education and training course approval?

No

Text box for comments:

15 Do you agree with the approach to allow Social Work England to approve other post qualification specialisms relating to social work using the approval scheme for initial education and training set out in regulations and regulatory rules?

Yes

Text box for comments:

This information should be transparent

Regulatory functions - Fitness to practise

16 Do you agree that the proposed fitness to practise inquiry approach provides for:

Approach to fitness to practise inquiry - a robust investigation process?:

No

Approach to fitness to practise inquiry - a clear and transparent mechanism for hearings?:

No

Approach to fitness to practise inquiry - a clear separation between investigation and adjudication?:

Yes

Approach to fitness to practise inquiry - a clear right of appeal?:

Yes

Text box for comments:

17 Do you agree with the inclusion of provisions for:

Provisions for automatic removal etc - accepted disposal?:

No

Provisions for automatic removal etc - automatic removal?:

Yes

Provisions for automatic removal etc - criminal convictions resulting in custodial sentences?:

Yes

Text box for comments:

18 Do you agree with the proposed list of offences that would result in automatic removal?

No

Text box for comments:

The offenses for automatic removal I have touched on one but they are should be wide ranging and at the scrutiny of a separate consultation For an example Christine Sterling wrote both the independent Action For Children a Report and Social Services this should be a automatic removal offence and dismissal. Not a promotion as it now it

Operation and oversight of the regulator - Advisers

19 Do you agree that advisers should be able to provide the following to the regulator on matters relating to any of its functions?

Advisers providing information, advice, recommendations - information:

Yes

Advisers providing information, advice, recommendations - specialist or expert advice:

Yes

Advisers providing information, advice, recommendations - recommendations:

Yes

Text box for comments:

20 Do you think there are other advisory roles that advisers could usefully undertake in supporting the regulator to deliver its functions?

Not Answered

Text box for comments:

21 Do you think there should be any limitations on the role that advisers can play in supporting the regulator to deliver its functions?

Yes

Text box for comments:

The limits are from and imposed by court

22 Do you agree that Social Work England should have a rule-making power to set out the detail about the appointment of advisers?

Not Answered

Text box for comments:

There should be no advisers. All personal should be specialists and back there statements in law. An adviser cannot be prosecuted

Operation and oversight of the regulator - Information and advice

23 Do you agree that Social Work England should be required in regulations to provide specific information about its core regulatory functions for:

Provision of specific info about core regulatory functions - the public:

Yes

Provision of specific info about core regulatory functions - registrants:

Yes

Provision of specific info about core regulatory functions - education providers:

Yes

Text box for comments:

24 Do you agree that Social Work England should be required in regulations to prepare and publish a strategic plan?

Yes

Text box for comments:

It should also provide statistics in regard its work and details by area of offences reported and offenses acted on

25 Do you agree that Social Work England should be allowed through regulations to determine the relevant period to which its strategic plan will apply?

No

Text box for comments:

No Regulation on the period of reporting should be consistent and be produced at least quarterly at the same time

Operation and oversight of the regulator - Fees

26 Do you agree that the regulations should provide for Social Work England to set out the detail about how it will charge fees in relation to registration in regulatory rules?

Yes

Text box for comments:

All registration should be paid for No social Worker should be able to work without registration

27 Do you agree that the regulations should provide for Social Work England to set out the detail about how it will charge fees in relation to approval for education and training courses in regulatory rules?

Not Answered

Text box for comments:

Social Work England should not have an educational role in regard to social workers as this is in conflict with its remit to act as an adjudicator and agency that could strike of Social Workers training should not be an option for punishment or acting improperly

Operation and oversight of the regulator - Regulatory rules

28 Do you agree that there should be an oversight process only for certain rules?

No

Text box for comments:

No rules should be black and white and applied with instant de-registration of Social Worker. The majority of Social workers are quite aware of what they are doing and therefore should be removed as this would seem that it would be a premeditated act which would bring suspension

29 If so, which regulatory rules do you think should be subject to an oversight process?

Text box for comments:

NO RULES SHOULD BE SUBJECT TO OVERSIGHT ALL RULES SHOULD BE BINDING AND APPLICABLE OTHERWISE WHAT IS THE POINT OF HAVING THE RULE

30 Which of the three possible processes outlined do you think is most appropriate?

a gateway process, whereby Secretary of State's views on proposed changes would be sought prior to public consultation, with the option for this process to be waived by the Secretary of State

Please provide reasons for preferred choice here:

All rules should be put through consultation procedure to check if new rules are being admitted to favor social workers

31 Do you have any suggestions for alternative scrutiny processes for Social Work England's regulatory rules?

Yes

Please provide suggestions here:

PAHO

Operation and oversight of the regulator - Duty to co-operate

32 Do you agree that Social Work England should be required to co-operate with the range of organisations set out in the draft regulations?

No

Text box for comments:

Its draft regulations should be approved by public consultation only

33 In addition, do you think that Social Work England should be required to co-operate with:

Duty to co-operate with police, NHS bodies etc - relevant inspectorates (e.g. Ofsted and the Care Quality Commission):

Yes

Duty to co-operate with police, NHS bodies etc - the police:

Yes

Duty to co-operate with police, NHS bodies etc - NHS bodies (e.g. the NHS Commissioning Board; clinical commissioning groups; and NHS trusts or NHS foundation trusts):

Yes

Duty to co-operate with police, NHS bodies etc - the Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS):

Yes

Text box for comments:

International bodies are not shown above

34 Are there any other bodies that you think that Social Work England should be required to co-operate with?

Yes

Text box for comments:

International children bodies

Operation and oversight of the regulator - Default powers

35 Do you think that the level of detail about the scope of the Secretary of State's powers with regard to default powers and remedial directions, including the power to appoint advisers, is sufficient?

No

Text box for comments:

36 If not, what further detail would you expect to see in regulations?

Text box for comments:

37 Do you agree that the Secretary of State should have powers to publish the remedial direction and the action required?

No

Text box for comments:

38 Do you agree that the Secretary of State should be required, through regulations, to appoint an independent person/s to take registration and fitness to practise decisions where they are delivering the functions of the regulator?

Yes

Text box for comments:

Operation and oversight of the regulator - Role of the Professional Standards Authority and funding

39 Do you think that the level of detail in regulations about the scope of the PSA's oversight role is sufficient?

No

Text box for comments:

As already stated there is no regulations shown in this consultation and these need to be reviewed and made part of a consultations

40 If not, what further detail would you expect to see in regulations in relation to this area?

Text box for comments:

41 Do you agree that Social Work England should fund the PSA on the same basis as other health and care regulators?

Not Answered

Text box for comments:

Is this Prostate Cancer UK ? Prescribing Safety Assessment?

Professional Standards Authority? there is no definitive body given by full name so the question is void

Impact assessment

42 How do you think that the proposed changes will affect the costs for your organisation or those you represent? Please explain your answer and provide an estimate of impact if possible.

No change to costs

Text box for comments:

43 Do you think that the proposed changes will bring particular benefits for your organisation or those you represent? Please explain your answer and provide an estimate of impact if possible.

Don't know

Text box for comments:

Equality analysis

44 Do you think that any of the proposals would help achieve any of the following aims:

Will proposals have equality impacts - Eliminating discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under the Equality Act 2010:

Don't know

Will proposals have equality impacts - Advancing equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it:

Don't know

Will proposals have equality impacts - Fostering good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it:

Don't know

Text box for comments:

This is not possible to answer until your organisation has been running more than a year

45 If you have answered 'yes' to any of the above questions, could the proposals be changed so that they are more effective?

Text box for comments:

The jury is out as these questions cannot be reasonably answered until such time as this new organisation has been operating

46 If you have answered 'no' to any of the above questions, please explain what effect you think the proposals will have and whether you think the proposals should be changed so that they would help achieve those aims?

Text box for comments:

This is not possible to answer until your organisation has been running more than a year